Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Application Number** 10/812,997 Filing Date **TRANSMITTAL** 03/31/2004 First Named Inventor **FORM** Anders Hanson Art Unit 3724 **Examiner Name** Laura Michelle Brean (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number

Tota	l Number of I	Pages in This Submission			1			
ENCLOSURES (Check of that control)								
	Fee Transmittal Form  Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply  After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request			Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a  Provisional Application  Power of Attorney, Revocat Change of Correspondence  Terminal Disclaimer  Request for Refund	ion Address		After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):	
	•	bandonment Request n Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)		·		
	Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Remarks					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm Name Arator IP Law Group PLL		.c						
Signature S.			Pay					
Printed name Steven S. Payne								
Date		06/12/2006			Reg. No.	35,31	35,316	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on								
the date shown below: Signature								
3.12								

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Steven S. Payne

Typed or printed name

06/12/2006

## PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Anders Hanson Examiner: Laura Michelle Brean

Serial No.: 10/812,997 Art Group: 3724 Filing Date: March 31, 2004 Docket No.: 150-153

Title: Safety Knife and Pouch For Safety Knife

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

## Response to Restriction Requirement

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 12, 2006, applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement dividing the present claims into two groups. It is respectfully submitted that a restriction requirement is not warranted in this application. Claims 1-7 are directed toward a safety knife and claims 8-20 are directed toward a pouch for the safety knife. The two groups of claims concern a common invention combination similar to that of a key and lock and other combination inventions. Specifically, the pouch claimed in claims 8-20 is adapted to be used with the safety knife claimed in claims 1-7. As a result, all of the claims of the present application should be able to be prosecuted together in one application.

While applicant believes that the restriction requirement should be removed, applicant selects group I (claims 1-7) for immediate prosecution if the restriction requirement is maintained.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview may expedite the prosecution of the application, the Examiner is invited to contact the below attorney at the indicated telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

Arator IP Law Group PLLC

Date: June 12, 2006

Arator IP Law Group PLLC 1101 17<sup>th</sup> Street N.W. Suite 1005 Washington, DC 20036 Phone: 202-828-9299

Fax: 202-828-9399

Steven S. Payne

Registration No. 35,316